
197348

2015 SPRING ROAD, SUITE 650, OAK BROOK, IL 60523 • TEL: (630) 472-1050 • FAX: (630) 472-0073

February 28, 2000

Mr. Vernon A Williams, Secretary Surface Transportation Board Office of the Secretary Case Control Unit Attn: STB Ex Parte No. 582 1925 K Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20423-0001



Dear Sir:

Hanjin Shipping Co., Ltd. is a ocean carrier company that is a major user of rail service in the United States and Canada with a rail budget in excess of 200 million dollars annually. We currently have agreements with all major U.S. and Canadian rail carriers. The purpose of this letter is to comment on the issues to be discussed on March 8, 2000 in Ex Parte 582.

We encourage the Surface Transportation Board to focus on whether the proposed CN-BNSF combination is good for shippers, not on potential downstream effects. The CN-BNSF combination should be judged on its own merits and if other carriers propose mergers, then these cases ought to be evaluated on their merits.

The timing of the proposed CN-BNSF combination is not the central issue for our company. Our concern is service. Recent mergers have failed on service, not because of timing. According, the Surface Transportation Board should focus on ensuring that CN-BNSF combination, and any other future mergers, will deliver service.

The Surface Transportation Board has a comprehensive process to evaluate railroad transactions and protect the public interest. It should fairly evaluate the CN-BNSF combination according to that process. Do not let other railroads use the Surface Transportation Board process to protect themselves and not shippers.

Sincerely,

Assistant General Manager Hanjin Intermodal America